

**From:** Malcolm Roberts <catalyst@eis.net.au>  
**Subject:** **Fwd: Just read your article**  
**Date:** 13 January 2011 12:48:29 PM AEST  
**To:** Roberts Malcolm <catalyst@eis.net.au>

---

From: Malcolm Roberts <catalyst@eis.net.au>  
Date: 10 January 2011 8:58:29 PM AEST  
To: a.pitman@unsw.edu.au  
Subject: Re: Just read your article

Hi Andy:

In trying to help you with the mass of information triggered by your unfounded statements, I neglected to discuss that I have previously read Table 2-11 (starting on page 201) of the WGI contribution to the 2007 IPCC report. It lists radiative forcing factors.

Would you be so kind please as to list, from table 2.11, the level of scientific understanding for each listed factor? Then could you please explain how accurate climate models can be created with those levels of understanding?

I hope you can see that 13 of the 16 claimed drivers have very low or low levels of understanding.

Can you see that two have moderate levels of understanding? Can you see that even the UN IPCC claims only one factor has a high level of understanding. Yet on that factor the UN IPCC's basis is theoretical and contradicts empirical measurements and laws of physics.

I refer you to the recently released book entitled 'Slaying the Sky Dragon' written by an international team of scientists across many disciplines including physics and chemistry :[http://www.amazon.com/Slaying-Sky-Dragon-Greenhouse-Theory/dp/1901546373/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1294656783&sr=1-1](http://www.amazon.com/Slaying-Sky-Dragon-Greenhouse-Theory/dp/1901546373/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1294656783&sr=1-1)

Again, Andy, can you please provide one piece of specific, scientifically measured real-world evidence supporting your claim that human production of CO2 caused global warming?

Malcolm Roberts  
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)

Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

[www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au)

180 Haven Road  
Pullenvale QLD 4069  
Phone:  
Home 07 3374 3374  
Mobile 04 1964 2379  
E-mail: [catalyst@eis.net.au](mailto:catalyst@eis.net.au)

Please note: Apart from suburb and state, my contact details are not for publication nor broadcasting and are provided only for your own personal use to respond.

On 09/01/2011, at 5:51 PM, Andy Pitman wrote:

Hi Malcolm,

I suggest you read the chapters in the IPCC 2007 report on observations, and on radiative forcing. If I simply quote you subsets of these reports I might be accused of selectivity, or misrepresentation. You need to read them in their entirety and read a suite of the accompanying literature cited therein.

This is, of course, a huge undertaking but unless you do this people can easily mislead you by simple explanations that "its natural" or "its volcanoes" or "its the sun" and that is such a waste of everyone's time.

If you form the basis of a judgment based on evidence that will convince you. If you have already made up your mind that the climate scientists, physicists, oceanographers, most geologists, biologists, hydrologists have just made this all up then I do not think I can help.

I hope you enjoy the reading. If you cannot access specific papers please let me know and I may be able to help.

Andy Pitman

On 9/01/11 6:04 PM, Malcolm Roberts wrote:

Andy:

Happy new year to you and yours.

Having just read your article printed in The Australian ("No need to go gaga over Gaia") I noted this paragraph of yours, quote: "For the record, that the Earth is warming due to greenhouse gases emitted by human activities is as certain as pretty well anything else in mainstream science."

Please provide one piece of specific scientifically measured real-world data proving your claim.

Please do not cite computer models as these have not been validated and have proven erroneous.

Please do not cite UN IPCC reports as the 2007 report's core chapter 9 does not provide any real-world scientific evidence. None.

Please do not claim the UN IPCC relies on peer-reviewed science. That is a proven falsity.

And this paragraph, quote: "There is scientific doubt about global warming, too, but we know with certainty that continued emissions of carbon dioxide will lead to warming, rising sea levels and ocean acidification at unprecedented rates, and that these changes will trigger expenses and outcomes that dwarf the costs of actually solving the problem."

Please provide one specific piece of scientifically measured real-world data proving this claim of certainty.

Just one piece of real-world scientific data for each of your claims, please Andy.

Malcolm Roberts

BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)  
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ  
(USA, Aust)

[www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au)

180 Haven Road  
Pullenvale QLD 4069  
Phone:  
Home 07 3374 3374  
Mobile 04 1964 2379  
E-mail: [catalyst@eis.net.au](mailto:catalyst@eis.net.au)

Please note: Apart from suburb and state, my contact details are not for publication nor broadcasting and are provided only for your own personal use to respond.

--

Professor AJ Pitman  
Co director, Climate Change Research Centre,  
The University of New South Wales.

[a.pitman@unsw.edu.au](mailto:a.pitman@unsw.edu.au)  
skype: andy.pitman.  
Phone +61 2 9385 7075