

The ECO FRAUD

PART 1

a timeline of international fraud



**green wasps camouflaged as angels
stinging the weakest,
most underrepresented,
forgotten Australians**

UNEP and UN IPCC - a Timeline of shady UN practices

When hearing loud, contradictory accusations and predictions of planetary doom, people's feelings about climate vary. These include confusion, fear, hopelessness, anger and apathy. To gain understanding, clarity and reassurance on climate and climate policy we need to understand the development of climate alarm. Understanding gives freedom—choices for using our inherent care for our natural environment.

-
- 1947** Teenaged **Maurice Strong** (future Canadian oil billionaire) working temporarily in a junior UN position shrewdly, strategically recognises the infant UN's potential power. CBC (2004)
-
- 1971** **Maurice Strong** by now a successful young oil entrepreneur and company President is recognised as a well-connected, clever networker and strategic thinker passionate about acquiring wealth and power. He reportedly foresaw using the emerging environmental movement to create and drive political power. Commissions a report on the environment. CBC (2004)
-
- 1972** **Maurice Strong**, appointed Secretary General of the 1972 United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm Conference). *June 1972*
-
- 1972** United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) founded to "co-ordinate United Nations environmental activities." *June 1972*. "Has played a critical role in globalising the environmental movement". *December, 1972*, **Maurice Strong** appointed as first head of UNEP.
-
- 1975** Media spreads alarm about purported catastrophic global freezing after Earth cools from 1958 to mid-1970's. Some attribute, with **no evidence**, global freezing to use of fuels containing carbon. (http://www.denisdutton.com/cooling_world.htm)
-
- 1976** Pacific Climate Shift. Sudden small rise in temperatures, clearly natural.
-
- 1980** Villach, Austria Conference. UNEP invited national governments to send scientists to its climate conference. **Draft declaration stating that global warming was caused by human production of carbon dioxide, CO2 (from burning fuels containing carbon) was thrust on scientists by conference bureaucrats. Scientists refuse to sign, citing no evidence.**
-
- 1985** Villach Conference. **UNEP bypassed national governments and directly invited select scientists to its repeat conference.** Produced declaration claiming global warming was occurring due to human production of CO2 from burning fuels containing carbon. Bert Bolin virtually single-handedly wrote conference report claiming human production of carbon dioxide caused global warming despite **no evidence** for that claim.
-
- 1988** UNEP combines with UN's World Meteorological Organization (WMO) to establish the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC). Bert Bolin first UN IPCC Chair. UN IPCC's role defined as finding the risk of human causation of global warming. (<http://web.archive.org/web/20071113023321/http://www.ipcc.ch/about/about.htm>). Note: non-governmental and not accountable to governments.
-
- 1991** UN IPCC provides its first report - **reputedly based on Bert Bolin's Villach report. Claims global warming due to human production of CO2 despite no evidence.**
-

1992	Maurice Strong , Secretary General of the Earth Summit, Rio De Janeiro. Dramatically successful in creating a political issue by careful stage managing, media manipulation, networking and effective use of NGO's reportedly cultivated by Maurice Strong . With no evidence global warming and human production of CO2 become political issue when NGO's and other groups led concerted campaign that falsely appeared to be grass roots.
1995	Second UN IPCC report. UN IPCC scientists clearly conclude there is no evidence linking human production of carbon dioxide with global warming yet final report written by UN IPCC bureaucrats states, quote: "The balance of evidence suggests a discernible human influence on global climate". Roberts (2009), page 10, sourced from UN IPCC, 1995 report.
1997	Kyoto Protocol. Maurice Strong is reportedly godfather of the Kyoto Protocol driving introduction of carbon trading despite no scientific evidence linking human production of CO2 as cause of global warming.
2001	Third UN IPCC report to governments. Uses fraudulent hockey stick graph to falsely claim unusual global warming caused by human production of CO2. With no scientific evidence , the graph was fabricated to omit world-wide scientific recognition of Earth's previous recent natural cyclic periods that were far warmer. Graph bypassed scientific peer review. Subsequently scientifically discredited world-wide. After the graph is seared in people's frightened minds world-wide, UN IPCC quietly withdraws graph.
2004	UN IPCC scientist Kevin Trenberth and UN IPCC Chair Rajendra Pachauri stage press conference claiming link between global warming and storm activity despite UN IPCC scientific expert Christopher Landsea advising them their statement knowingly contradicted scientific data. After alarmist headlines are driven world-wide, UN IPCC quietly retracts statement. 2007 report confirms no evidence linking warming and storm activity.
2006	With no scientific evidence , Stern report raises unfounded alarm using economic and scientific projections later ridiculed.
2007	<p>Fourth UN IPCC report to governments relies on unvalidated computer model projections. It presents no scientifically measured real-world evidence showing human production of CO2 caused Earth's latest period of modest, cyclic global warming that ended around 1998.</p> <p>Note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ chapter 9 attributing global warming to human production of CO2 does so solely on basis of unvalidated computer models and has no scientifically measured real-world evidence; ◆ in an entirely separate chapter it is admitted that the unvalidated models are based on incomplete climate factors, 80% of which have low or very low levels of understanding; ◆ accomplished UN IPCC scientific reviewer, scientist Vincent Gray (PhD, Cambridge) lodges 2,253 comments including a staggering 575 against the sole chapter attributing global warming to human CO2. Gray who has reviewed all four UN IPCC reports, states, quote: "there is no evidence that additional greenhouse gas emissions are harming the climate. The only "facts" they come up with are that there may be warming, or that the models predict it. Neither of these constitute evidence". ◆ UN IPCC chair Rajendra Pachauri repeatedly falsely implies 4,000 scientists claim human production of CO2 is warming the planet. Actual data provided by UN IPCC shows the claim made by tight knit cabal of 53 authors—40 being part of a network of people who previously worked together. They relied mostly on their own papers. Their claim was endorsed by only five (5) reviewers, with doubt they were scientists.
2007	Despite UN IPCC withdrawing use of the hockey stick graph falsely purporting unusually high global temperatures, Al Gore falsely embellishes it dramatically with unvalidated computer projections. He wraps this in language falsely implying certainty. British High Court later rules Al Gore's movie uses many falsities. No evidence of human causation of global warming. Detailed analysis of movie is in Roberts (2009), pages 41-43.

2007-09	<p>Using UN IPCC data on its own reporting processes, McLean exposes UN IPCC corruption and frequent bypassing of peer review. McLean cannot be sensibly refuted since he merely presents UN IPCC data provided by the UN IPCC itself. That UN IPCC data shows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ the UN IPCC's reporting process does not follow, and often contradicts, the long-established and internationally accepted method of scientific review. Thus, the UN IPCC's core claim is not scientifically peer reviewed; ◆ contravening UN IPCC principles and guidelines, the report's sole attributing chapter (No. 9) is written by a small, tight knit cabal of people, biased toward computer modellers with vested interests; ◆ Prof Karoly was Lead Author of the 2001 report's sole attribution chapter (No. 12) which the 2007 report extends. Yet he was appointed as Reviewing Editor of the 2007 report; ◆ McLean (2007, Oct), quote: "The IPCC leads us to believe that over 600 impartial reviewers diligently examined chapter 9 and a very high proportion agreed with its findings. It is difficult to see how this impression could be much further from the truth - 7 reviewers who were probably impartial, only 2 of whom made more than one comment; just 5 reviewers endorsed the chapter but most of those had potential vested interests." There is doubt that the five endorsers were even scientists; ◆ McLean, (2009, Jan) quote: "The evidence shows that the claim of '4,000 scientific experts supported the IPCC's claims is dishonest in almost every word. There were not 4,000 people, but just under 2,900; they were not all scientists; and it seems that they were not all experts. There is only evidence that about 60 people explicitly supported the claim, although that might not mean much given the vested interests and lack of impartiality of many authors and reviewers." ◆ McLean (2008, Jul) quote: "The contributions of working groups II and III were developed in parallel with that from working group I, which suggests that either assumptions were made about the findings of chapter 9 (attribution of warming to human CO2) or that those findings were predetermined." <p>Refer to papers by McLean referenced in Roberts (2009) and (2010).</p>
2008	<p>Garnaut Review report relies on UN IPCC report and admits lack of evidence. Refer to chapter 2, 'Understanding Climate Science', of the Garnaut Review.</p>
2009	<p>Climategate whistleblower reveals startling unscientific and seemingly dishonest and possibly criminal behaviour among scientists fabricating the UN IPCC's core claim that human production of CO2 caused global warming. Trenberth and others named. Claims include evading peer review and unethically suppressing articles making contrary conclusions.</p>
2009	<p>Copenhagen conference collapses in failure. No evidence of human global climate influence.</p>
2009	<p>McLean releases his documentation of corruption of climate science by UNEP and key UN IPCC individuals. Title: 'Climate Science Corrupted: How the IPCC's sponsor, the UNEP, and key IPCC individuals have misled governments into supporting the notion of manmade warming'. Roberts (2010).</p> <p>Quote: "The other key factor for the IPCC was the adoption of the UNEP's methods of coercing governments and the general public. ... Directly and indirectly these methods greatly influenced political parties whether they held government or not."</p> <p>Quote: "It is long overdue that the IPCC was called for what it is - a political body driven not by the evidence that it pretends exists ..."</p> <p>Using quotes from senior UNEP officials, McLean concludes, quote: "UNEP continues to be dishonest, distorting and deceptive about climate."</p>

2010

UN IPCC starts to unravel:

- ◆ **Canadian researcher leads international auditing team. Audit finds UN IPCC chair **Rajendra Pachauri**'s claim that UN IPCC only uses peer-reviewed material is false. In the UN IPCC's 2007 report, 5,587 references were not peer reviewed. Many written by activists.**
- ◆ UN IPCC admits gross error in Himalayan glaciers melting that was used by UN IPCC chair **Rajendra Pachauri** to obtain funding for an organisation of which he is director. Refer to Roberts (2010);
- ◆ extensive discrepancies and repeated unscientific statements litter the UN IPCC's 2007 report. Roberts (2010).
- ◆ **UN IPCC chair **Rajendra Pachauri** is repeatedly mired in apparently credible accusations of conflicts of interest.**

2010

Polling shows accelerating world-wide distrust of UN IPCC and government claims on global warming.

2010

Inquiries into Climategate:

A list of the Climategate 'Inquiry' References accompanies this document.

- ◆ British Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) investigation finds breach of the law by UN IPCC scientists at Climatic Research Centre (CRU). Expiry of Statute of limitations (time limit) prevents prosecution. ICO seeking to amend law to prevent recurrence;
- ◆ British parliamentary Select Committee inquiry abbreviated due to British election. Entrusted investigations to University of East Anglia, UEA (home of CRU);
- ◆ both of UEA's 'inquiries' (Russell 'inquiry' and the Oxburgh Science Appraisal Panel) have been revealed as flouting Britain's parliament. 'Investigations' were conducted secretly by panellists with serious conflicts of interest and avoided main issues of concern. Panels' funds and operation were controlled by the University. Panels failed to interview experts making claims against CRU. Science Appraisal Panel chair later admits to not investigating the science. Leading Parliamentary committee members have publicly expressed their anger.
- ◆ Pennsylvania State University, where Mann (fabricator of the hockey stick fraud) is now located claims to have conducted its own internal 'investigation'. It dismissed three charges apparently without investigation and found no fault in the fourth after simply accepting Mann's word and hearing no alternative views.
- ◆ State of Virginia Attorney General currently acting against Mann. The University of Virginia, where Mann worked until 2005 refuses to release documents related to global warming research. The university received five grants during Mann's tenure.

Both universities (UEA and Penn State) have received millions of dollars worth of grants through the work of CRU and Mann. Many commentators say the 'inquiries' raise more questions than they attempted to answer.

In 2006 America's Congress asked respected statistician and scientist Professor Edward Wegman, to investigate the UN IPCC's scientifically discredited hockey stick temperature graph. His report said, quote: "One of the interesting questions associated with the 'hockey stick controversy' are the relationships among the authors and consequently how confident one can be in the peer review process. In particular, if there is a tight relationship among the authors and there are not a large number of individuals engaged in a particular topic area, then one may suspect that the peer review process does not fully vet papers before they are published.... However, it is immediately clear that the Mann, Rutherford, Jones, Osborn, Briffa, Bradley and Hughes form a clique, each interacting with all the others. A clique is a fully connected subgraph, meaning everyone in the clique interacts with every one else in the clique."

These names include those of 'scientists' implicated deeply in the Climategate scandal.

America's Competitive Enterprise Institute filed suit against NASA for NASA's three years' stalling access to internal documents exposing reported abuse of taxpayer funds to advance the global warming agenda. NASA's Goddard Institute of Space Studies (GISS) employs James Hansen, Al Gore's 'scientific' adviser.

Some things to ponder

Why do UN IPCC reports regularly repeat dishonesty and deception?

Political Nobel Peace Prize is not a Nobel Prize in Science

Al Gore and the UN IPCC won a Nobel Peace Prize not a Nobel Prize for Science. Science prizes are awarded by panels of scientists whereas Norway's politicians award Peace Prizes, often after intense lobbying.

Does it start and end with **Maurice Strong**?

This timeline started with **Maurice Strong**. Sixty three years later he is a member of the board of the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) established to trade carbon credits. In the now unlikely event that the USA passes Cap-n-trade legislation, the CO2 trading industry is projected to grow to 10 trillion dollars annually.

Generation Investment Management, co-founded and owned by Al Gore owns the fifth largest stake in the Chicago Climate Exchange. Goldman Sachs investment bank owns 10% of CCX. According to the Fox Network, current USA President Obama was on the Joyce Foundation board that secured funding for the CCX.

Sources are many including this reference: Why Goldman is Willing to Take the Heat, Glenn Beck, Fox News, January 2010. <http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,591542,00.html>

America's Fox mainstream TV Network and other media sources report **Maurice Strong**'s alleged involvement in financial scandals. His name is controversially associated with the UN's oil-for-food scandal that reached to senior levels of the UN.

1990 interview of then UN Secretary General for the Earth Summit, Maurice Strong, quote: "What if a small group of these world leaders were to conclude that the principal risk to the Earth comes from the actions of the rich countries ... in order to save the planet the group decides: isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialised civilisations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

Is it Maurice Strong's responsibility to destroy human civilisation and with it your health, lifestyle and security? Is it Maurice Strong's responsibility to destroy our nation's future and our children's future?

Additional references:

- ◆ Roberts, M I, 2009. Thriving with Nature and Humanity: <http://carbon-sense.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/12/nature-and-humanity.pdf>
- ◆ Roberts, M I, 2010. Two Dead Elephants in Parliament: http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/reprint/two_dead_elephants.pdf
- ◆ CBC, 2004. CBC Life and Times features Maurice Strong: <http://www.mauricestrong.net/2008091027/video/video/lifeandtimes.html>. This is one of many references. Google reveals much on Maurice Strong.
- ◆ accompanying list of Climategate 'inquiry' References

Dictionary definition of fraud: Presenting something as it is not, to secure unfair gain